

**Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary**

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/646,456	CLARK ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Richard Pantoliano Jr	2194	

**All Participants:****Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_(1) Richard Pantoliano Jr. (3) \_\_\_\_\_(2) Naya Chatterjee-Marathe (Reg. No. 54,680). (4) \_\_\_\_\_**Date of Interview:** 29 August 2007**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 10-12, 18, 27, 32

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**See *Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted Ms. Chatterjee-Marathe on behalf of William Thomson, Supervisory Patent Examiner of art unit 2194, to inform her that upon evaluating Applicant's response and amendments filed 14 March 2007, incorporating the limitations of claims 10-12 into independent claims 1, 18, 27, and 32 would place the application in condition for allowance. Upon conferring with Applicant, Ms. Chatterjee-Marathe informed Examiner on 30 August 2007 to authorize an Examiner's Amendment to make the proposed changes.